

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 BRITTNEY Y.A. CONCEPCION,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 STATE OF NEVADA, *et al.*,

11 Defendants.
12

Case No. 2:24-cv-01634-RFB-NJK

ORDER

13
14 Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 4) of the
15 Honorable Nancy J. Koppe, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on January 24, 2025. A
16 district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations
17 made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the
18 findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a).
19 When written objections have been filed the district court is required to “make a de novo
20 determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations
21 to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party
22 fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” *de novo* or
23 otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140,
24 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by February 6, 2025. No
25 objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the
26 Magistrate Judge’s recommendation.

27 ///

28 ///

1 Therefore, **IT IS ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 4) is
2 **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED** in full.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED without prejudice**. The
4 Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

5
6 **DATED:** May 29, 2025.

7
8 

9
10 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28